01/02/2008To The Commission:

Mr. Miller's comprehensive proposal addresses many of the problems faced today by an increasing number of Amateur Radio operators on the HF bands.

I am in favor of this proposal.

I would additionally like to point out the fallacy of those arguing the validity of Pactor III systems,

such as those employed by the WinLink system, as solid backbones for emergency communications,

justifying the use of this mode and system on the Amateur Radio bands.

This is a fallacious argument for several reasons.

It is acknowledged that Amateur Radio has often provided short-term emergency communications when

there have been no alternatives, and this is certainly providing a public service.

However the communications infrastructure at all levels of government has been undergoing an

evolution in the last 5-6 years, and the reliance upon Amateur Radio operators for emergency

communications has dwindled. This is not to say that the Amateur Radio Service is not available,

but only that it is inevitable that it will be relied upon less and less.

Another fallacy is the concept that the Amateur Radio Service exists to provide emergency communications.

This is not nor has it ever been the purpose of Amateur Radio, which is the pursuit of radio

communications solely for personal aim and with no pecuniary interest.

This type of attitude is

representative of some operators who do not understand the regulatory use of the word "service",

but in fact believe that they are members of some government service instituted to provide public services.

Reason seems to have escaped when using emergency communications to substantiate these email systems.

Does any government organization at any level use emails as a means of reliably passing real emergency

traffic? I would sure hate to think of someone's life depending on email! If the situation is not a

life or death issue, then whatever the concern may be, it can wait until the official and commercial

infrastructures come into action, if in fact they were ever absent to begin with.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Mancuso, KI4NGN

----=_NextPart_000_0003_01C84D5B.3F845920

Content-Type: text/html; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html;

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16587" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>ECFS - E-mail

Filing
<PROCEEDING>RM-11392
<DATE>01/02/2008
<NAM E>Michael

J. Mancuso
<ADDRESS1>8732 Springhouse Lane

<ADDRESS2&qt;
<CITY&qt;Raleiqh
<STATE&qt;NC
<ZIP

>27617
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER><

BR><DOCUMENT-TYPE>RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>mmancuso@nc.rr.com
<TEXT>01/02/2008

Tο

The Commission:</DIV>

```
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

<DIV>Mr. Miller=92s comprehensive proposal addresses many

of the problems faced today by an increasing number of
Amateur Radio

operators on the HF bands.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I am in favor of this

proposal.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I would additionally like to point out the fallacy

of those arguing the validity of Pactor III systems,
such as those employed

by the WinLink system, as solid backbones for emergency communications,

justifying the use of this mode and system on the Amateur Radio

bands.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>This is a fallacious argument for several

reasons.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>It is acknowledged that Amateur Radio has often

provided short-term emergency communications when
there have been no

alternatives, and this is certainly providing a public service.

However the

communications infrastructure at all levels of government has been undergoing an

evolution in the last 5-6 years, and the reliance upon Amateur Radio

operators for emergency
communications has dwindled. This is not to say that

the Amateur Radio Service is not available,
but only that it is inevitable

that it will be relied upon less and less. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Another fallacy is the concept that the

Amateur

Radio Service exists to provide emergency communications.
This is not nor

has it ever been the purpose of Amateur Radio, which is the pursuit of radio

communications solely for personal aim and with no pecuniary interest. This

type of attitude is
representative of some operators who do not understand

the regulatory use of the word =93service=94,
but in fact believe that they are

members of some government service instituted to provide public services.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Reason seems to have escaped when using emergency

communications to substantiate these email systems.
Does any government

organization at any level use emails as a means of reliably passing real

emergency
traffic? I would sure hate to think of someone=92s life depending on

email! If the situation is not a
life or death issue, then whatever the

concern may be, it can wait until the official and commercial

infrastructures come into action, if in fact they were ever absent to begin

with.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Sincerely,</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Michael J. Mancuso,

KI4NGN
</DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0003_01C84D5B.3F845920--